

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

**PCT**

NOTIFICATION CONCERNING  
TRANSMITTAL OF COPY OF INTERNATIONAL  
PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY  
(CHAPTER I OF THE PATENT COOPERATION  
TREATY)  
(PCT Rule 44bis.1(c))

Date of mailing (*day/month/year*)  
14 September 2006 (14.09.2006)

To:

CONN, David, L.  
Borden Ladner Gervais LLP  
World Exchange Plaza  
100 Queen Street, Suite 1100  
Ottawa, Ontario K1P 1J9  
CANADA

Applicant's or agent's file reference  
PAT2786W-90

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

International application No.  
PCT/CA2005/000323

International filing date (*day/month/year*)  
02 March 2005 (02.03.2005)

Priority date (*day/month/year*)  
02 March 2004 (02.03.2004)

Applicant

McGILL UNIVERSITY et al

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

The International Bureau of WIPO  
34, chemin des Colombettes  
1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

Athina Nickitas-Etienne

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70

e-mail: pt04@wipo.int

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## PCT

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)


Applicant's or agent's file reference <b>PAT2786W-90</b>	<b>FOR FURTHER ACTION</b>		See item 4 below
International application No. <b>PCT/CA2005/000323</b>	International filing date ( <i>day/month/year</i> ) <b>02 March 2005 (02.03.2005)</b>	Priority date ( <i>day/month/year</i> ) <b>02 March 2004 (02.03.2004)</b>	
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237			
Applicant <b>McGILL UNIVERSITY</b>			

<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).</li> <li>This REPORT consists of a total of 7 sheets, including this cover sheet.</li> </ol> <p>In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.</p>																
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This report contains indications relating to the following items:           <table> <tr> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. I</td> <td>Basis of the report</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. II</td> <td>Priority</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. III</td> <td>Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Box No. IV</td> <td>Lack of unity of invention</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. V</td> <td>Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VI</td> <td>Certain documents cited</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VII</td> <td>Certain defects in the international application</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. VIII</td> <td>Certain observations on the international application</td> </tr> </table> </li> <li>The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).</li> </ol>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. I	Basis of the report	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. II	Priority	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability	<input type="checkbox"/> Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement	<input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VI	Certain documents cited	<input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. I	Basis of the report															
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. II	Priority															
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability															
<input type="checkbox"/> Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention															
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement															
<input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VI	Certain documents cited															
<input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application															
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application															

	Date of issuance of this report <b>05 September 2006 (05.09.2006)</b>
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer <b>Athina Nickitas-Etienne</b> e-mail: pt04@wipo.int
Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70	

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY



WIPO

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY  
(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

	Date of mailing (day/month/year)	06 June 2005 (06.06.2005)
--	-------------------------------------	---------------------------

Applicant's or agent's file reference PAT2786W-90	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below	
--	---	--

International application No. <b>PCT/CA2005/000323</b>	International filing date (day/month/year) 02 March 2005 (02-03-2005)	Priority date (day/month/year) 02 March 2004 (02-03-2004)
---	--	--

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC A61K 31/167 A61P 29/00 A61P 11/00 A61P 31/00		
---	--	--

Applicant MCGILL UNIVERSITY ET AL		
--------------------------------------	--	--

**1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items :**

- Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- Box No. II Priority
- Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement.
- Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

**2. FURTHER ACTION**

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

**3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.**

Name and mailing address of the ISA/CA Canadian Intellectual Property Office Place du Portage I, C114 - 1st Floor, Box PCT 50 Victoria Street Gatineau, Quebec K1A 0C9 Facsimile No.: 001(819)953-2476	Authorized officer
---	--------------------

Tania Nish (819) 934-3592
---------------------------

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.  
PCT/CA2005/000323

**Box No. I Basis of this opinion**

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.  
 This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of :
  - a. type of material  
 a sequence listing  
 table(s) related to the sequence listing
  - b. format of material  
 in written format  
 in computer readable form
  - c. time of filing/furnishing  
 contained in the international application as filed.  
 filed together with the international application in computer readable form.  
 furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.  In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statement that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments :

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.  
PCT/CA2005/000323

Box No. II Priority

1. [ X ] The following document has not yet been furnished :

[ X ] copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rules 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).

[ ] translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rules 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).

Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.

2. [ ] This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.

3. Additional observations, if necessary :

The priority document pertaining to the present application has not been checked as it was unavailable at the time of establishing this first written opinion. Hence, it is based on the assumption that all claims enjoy priority rights from the filing date of the priority document. If it later turns out that this is incorrect, the document, WO 2004/064823 A1, cited in the international search report could become relevant in assessing whether claims 1-5 meet the criteria set forth in Article 33(2-4) PCT.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.  
PCT/CA2005/000323

**Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability**

The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:

the entire international application

claim Nos. 1-5

because:

the said international application, or the said claim Nos. 1-5

relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (*specify*) :

Claims 1-5 are methods of medical treatment. (Rule 39.1(iv) PCT)

Although claims 1-3 are directed to methods of medical treatment of the human/animal body, the search has been carried out based on the alleged effects of fenretinide and derivatives/anologs and ceramide and derivatives/anologs on pro-inflammation, inflammatory response and proliferation and respiratory tract infection

The subject matter of claims 1-5 is directed to a method of medical treatment of the human or animal body (Rule 39.1(iv)PCT). No unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States for the assessment of the industrial applicability of claims 1-5 (Article 33(4)PCT).

the description, claims or drawings (*indicate particular elements below*) or said claim Nos. 1-5  
are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (*specify*) :

Present claims 1-5 relate to a method to treat inflammation with a compound that increases ceramide levels in the cell. The claims cover an extremely large number of possible compounds, including currently undiscovered compounds. As such, the extremely large number of options of compounds renders the claims unclear and not concise within the meaning of Article 6 PCT that a meaningful search is not possible. Consequently, the search has been carried out for only a limited number of compounds which are disclosed in the disclosure, namely fenretinide and derivatives/anologs thereof and ceramide and derivatives/analog thereof.

the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported  
by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.

no international search report has been established for said claims Nos.

the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the  
Administrative Instructions in that :

the written form  has not been furnished

does not comply with the standard

the computer readable form  has not been furnished

does not comply with the standard

the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the  
technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.

See Supplemental Box for further details.

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.  
PCT/CA2005/000323

<b>Box No. V</b>	<b>Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement</b>		
------------------	---	--	--

**1. Statement**

Novelty (N)	Claims	YES
	Claims <u>1-5</u>	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	YES
	Claims <u>1-5</u>	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	YES
	Claims	NO

**2. Citations and explanations :**

- D1: CA 2 365 290 A1
- D2: WO 01/72701 A1
- D3: Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol., 22, 460-468
- D4: WO 00/00207 A1
- D5: J. Biol. Chem., 277, 49531-49537
- D6: JNCI, 91, 1138-1146
- D7: WO 2004/0644823 A1

**NOVELTY**

1) D1 discloses the use of ceramides, derivatives and/or precursors of ceramides in the treatment of cystic fibrosis and associated diseases and illnesses, such as inflammation and respiratory infection.

D2 discloses the use of ceramide and derivatives thereof in the prevention of cellular proliferation, inflammatory disease or inflammation.

Therefore, since the subject matter of claims 1-2 and 4-5 is the same as the subject matter disclosed by D1 and D2, claims 1-2 and 4-5 would not be considered novel with respect to D1 and D2. (Art.33(2), PCT)

2) D3 discloses the use of ceramides and analogs thereof in the mediation of cell death by apoptosis. Ceramide is disclosed as a second messenger in initiating the apoptotic response.

D4-D6 disclose the use of fenretinide and other such retinoic acid derivatives in the treatment of hyperproliferative disorders by manipulation of the ceramide-mediated apoptosis.

Therefore, since the subject matter of claim 3 is the same as the subject matter disclosed by D3-D6, claim 3 would not be considered novel with respect to D3-D6 (Art.33(2), PCT)

**INVENTIVE STEP**

One skilled in the art with regards to D1-D6 would be able to conclude that the increase of ceramide levels will inhibit inflammation and proliferation and thus use of a medicament that increases ceramide levels, such as fenretinide and other like retinoic acid derivatives and precursors/derivatives of ceramide, will treat inflammation and proliferation. (Art. 33(3), PCT)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.  
PCT/CA2005/000323

**Box No. VIII      Certain observations on the international application**

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made :

Claims 1-5 do lack clarity and conciseness and do not comply with Article 6 (PCT) for the following reasons:

- 1) Claims 1-5 defines the compound used in the treatment as "an agent that increases ceramide levels in the cell". The definition attempts to define the therapeutic compound of the invention solely by the result to be achieved, i.e. the increase of ceramide levels in the cell. Therefore rendering the claims unclear, as it directs to a desired results rather than to the combination necessary to achieve the result as described in the description.
- 2) Claims 1-2 and 4-5 define the use of an agent to treat inflammatory response, proliferation and reduction of respiratory tract infections. The description specifically supports the treatment of respiratory inflammation, proliferation and respiratory infection in subjects with cystic fibrosis (CF) disease using a specific agent, namely fenretinide. Therefore, the claims are broader than the scope of the invention disclosed in the description.
- 3) The term "diseased cell" in claims 1 and 4 cause the claims to be ambiguous
- 4) Claim 3 defines the use of an agent to induce an inflammatory response in a cell. The claim is broader than the scope of the invention as taught in the description where fenretidine is shown to induce an inflammatory response in cells that are not in a pro-inflammatory response, ie cell which are not stimulated.